Case:10-07374-ESL13 Doc#:39 Filed:12/27/10 Entered:12/27/10 16:38:29 Desc: Main Document Page 1 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

VIRGEN P. MERCADO ALVAREZ * Case No. 10-7374

*

Debtor(s)

*

*

* December 1, 2010
-----* Old San Juan,
Puerto Rico

CONFIRMATION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ENRIQUE S. LAMOUTTE FEDERAL BUILDING, OLD SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO

APPEARANCES:

For the Trustee: Juliel Perez, Esq.

For the Debtor: Jose Prieto, Esq.

For the Creditors: Lucas Cordoba, Esq.,

Charles Gilmore, Esq. For Operating Partners

CD: Official Courtroom Deputy

(Proceedings taken by electronic recording; transcription produced by transcription service)

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

PROCEEDINGS

2 (2:51 p.m.)

(Case is called)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MR. PRIETO: Good afternoon to the members of the Court. Attorney José Prieto on behalf of Debtor.

MR. CÓRDOBA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Lucas Córdova and Charles Gilmore for Operating Partners.

MS. PÉREZ: Juliel Pérez on behalf of Trustee in this case José Carrión.

Your Honor, in this case, the Trustee does now favorably recommend of the plan dated August 13, 2010, and we're going to close the 341 meeting of creditors since they comply with all of the tax returns for the years 2006 and 2009 under 1309 -- 8.

THE COURT: There's an objection by Operating Partners.

MR. CÓRDOBA: Yes, Your Honor. It's a supplemental objection. The objection was filed before the supplemental objection was filed about 10 or 15 days ago, and no answer from the Debtor yet.

MR. PRIETO: Your Honor, if I may?

THE COURT: Yes, Counsel.

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

2.2

MR. PRIETO: I was revising again the supplemental objection. Basically, the objection states on more of the same grounds. We're going to have to make a reply to the objection once again.

The secured creditor (indiscernible)

Operating Partners it's trying to recover \$7,600 in attorneys' fees for filing a case in the instant -- in the first -- in the District Court of Fajardo.

And when the bankruptcy case was -- they didn't get a judgment, and the case was filed in order to cure the arrears.

Then, the Trustee -- the Court granted the objection filed by this attorney in behalf -- on behalf of Virgen P. Mercado Álvarez, and now it's a supplemental objection, and there's also a leave to file an appeal pending, that it was granted an extension of time to file the appeal.

At this moment, if the objection -- the supplemental objection was filed later than the -- than the --

THE COURT: Supplemental objection to what?

MR. PRIETO: To objection to the confirmation of the plan.

THE COURT: And the grounds for the

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

objection are?

MR. CÓRDOBA: For the supplemental objection

3 to confirmation?

THE COURT: Supplemental, original. What is

5 the --

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. CÓRDOBA: The grounds are that --

THE COURT: -- objection by the Operating

Partners to -- to --

MR. CÓRDOBA: The grounds are the --

THE COURT: -- to having the plan dated

11 | August 13, 2010, confirmed?

MR. CÓRDOBA: Your Honor, the grounds are briefed in detail in the motion.

Essentially, the position is that the plan does not comply to (indiscernible) 22 (sic), because, if Debtor is proposing in the plan to continue making regular payments on other residential mortgage, she has to cure all the arrears. And all the arrears include this entire amount, because it's a prepetition amount.

And it's -- and, according to 1322 (e), the amount of arrears or the amount of the default that must be cured to the plan is determined under the underlying mortgage agreement and under non-

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

bankruptcy law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

So, there's no bankruptcy provision applicable here to which the reasonableness standard or test can be attached.

THE COURT: Isn't that what was argued or -- isn't that really an argument regarding the objection to the claim?

MR. CÓRDOBA: It's a -- it's a different argument, because the Court has not entertained the issue under the context of confirmation, and whether the plan complies with --

THE COURT: Well, I disallowed -- I allowed -- I agreed to the objection to claim. So, I disallowed the fees being requested without prejudice to filing a detailed statement.

So, --

MR. CÓRDOBA: There's no de --

THE COURT: -- as to this Court, unless I'm overturned on appeal, the Debtor does not have to pay the fees, because I've already entered an order disallowing them.

So, if that is the grounds, then I would -- I would confirm the plan and request a transcript.

And, if I'm overturned on appeal, then we'll see in

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

the case then.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MR. CÓRDOBA: Our position, Your Honor, is that the objection — that the claim or the order regarding the claim was analyzed under 506. And 506 is not applicable, because 1322, for purposes of confirmation, displaces 506 expressly.

So, we understand that analysis is not applicable under the confirmation.

THE COURT: 1322?

MR. CÓRDOBA: 1322 (e), which is the provision that establishes what's the amount to be cured under the plan if the Debtor elects 1322 (b) (5).

(Documents are reviewed)

MR. CÓRDOBA: That section makes 506 inapplicable, and the question of whether the claim is --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know if it's inapplicable. It says "Notwithstanding".

MR. CÓRDOBA: "Notwithstanding". And the courts have consistently held -- multiple courts, from various districts, including this one -- that that means that 506 is displaced.

(Documents are reviewed)

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

MR. CÓRDOBA: And the issue here is that the 1 -- that the complaint was filed prepetition, a year 2 before the petition was actually filed. 3 These are not fees requested post-petition. 4 5 (Documents are reviewed) THE COURT: I see your point. The point is 6 7 that I have not decided whether the reasonable standard applies to prepetition fees. 8 MR. CÓRDOBA: Correct. 9 THE COURT: And I --10 MR. CÓRDOBA: And the court specifically --11 12 THE COURT: -- and I may have not. 13 I'm not saying that I agree with you. I'm 14 saying that I have not specifically --MR. CÓRDOBA: Addressed that issue. 15 THE COURT: -- addressed that issue. And I 16 think I should. 17 So, I'll -- I'll grant you time to answer 18 the supplemental objection, and then out take the 19 matter under advisement. 2.0 How much time do need? 21 MR. PRIETO: 21 days, Your Honor. 2.2 2.3 THE COURT: I'm going to continue the hearing without a date. 24

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

1	(Documents are reviewed)						
2	MR.	CÓRDOBA	: Than	k you.			
3	MR.	PRIETO:	Thank	you, Y	our Ho	nor.	
4	THE	COURT:	You're	excuse	d.		
5	(Hearing	in this	matter	is her	eupon	concluded	for
6	this day)						
7					(3:03 p.m.)	
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

1075 Carr. 2 Plaza Suchville #302 Bayamón, Puerto Rico 00959 Tel. # (787) 783-6623; (787) 617-9487 8

TRANSCRIBER CERTIFICATION

2

3

4

5

1

I, CRYSTAL INCHAUSTEGUI BREAZ, Transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was transcribed by me to the best of my abilities.

6

7

8

I CERTIFY that all "(inaudible)" were carefully reviewed and found to be as written.

9

10

11

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not interested in the outcome of the case mentioned in said caption.

12

13

14

WITNESS MY HAND this 27 day of the month of December, 2010 in San Juan, Puerto Rico.

15

16

17

S/ CRYSTAL INCHAUSTEGUI

CRYSTAL INCHAUSTEGUI BREAZ

18

19

20

21

2.2

I, DIANE BREAZ, RPR and Official Court Reporter for the District Court of Puerto Rico, certify that the foregoing transcript has been verified and certified by me.

23

S/ DIANE BREAZ

24

DIANE BREAZ

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBERS, INC.